Rana graeca

Taxonomic Authority: Boulenger, 1891 Synonyms:

Order: Anura

Notes on taxonomy:

General Information

Biome

Geographic Range of species:

This species is restricted to the Balkans, ranging from southeastern Bosnia Herzegovina in the northwest, through central and southern Serbia, Montenegro, Macedonia, Albania, most of Greece and southwestern and southern Bulgaria. It might occur in the European part of Turkey. It occurs at altitudes of 200 - 2,000m asl.

Terrestrial

Conservation Measures:

It is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive and Appendix III of the Bern Convention. It is protected by national legislation in FR Yugoslavia (Kalezic and Dzukic, 2001). It occurs in a number of protected areas.

Species population information:

It is reported to be generally abundant in medium to high altitude areas (Gasc et al., 1997), and remains relatively widespread in the Serbia, Montenegro, Macedonia (Kalezic and Dzukic, 2001).

Region: 10

Common Names:

Greek Stream Frog

Family: Ranidae

✓ Freshwater Marine

Habitat and Ecology Information:

This is a largely aquatic, montane species associated with cold small clear rivers, streams and springs often located in shady deciduous and mixed forest. It may also occur in moors and meadows, and around glacial pools in lakes. Breeding and larval development takes place in these waterbodies. It is presumed that the species can tolerate some slight habitat modification.

English

Threats:

The major threat to this species is the loss of suitable habitat often resulting from forest fires or wetland drainage. It is also affected in some places by pollution.

Country Distribution	Native - Presence Confirmed	Native - Presence Possible	Extinct	Reintroduced	Introduced	Vagrant
Albania	\checkmark					
Bosnia and Herzegovina	\checkmark					
Bulgaria	\checkmark					
Greece	\checkmark					
Macedonia, the former Yugoslav Republ	\checkmark					
Turkey		\checkmark				
Serbia and Montenegro	\checkmark					
FAO Marine Habitats	Native - Presence Confirmed	Native - Presence Possible	Extinct	Reintroduced	Introduced	

Major Lakes

Major Rivers

Upper Level Habitat Preferences	Score	Lower Level Habitat Preferences	Score
1.4 Forest - Temperate	1	Cool Broadleaf Forest	1
4.4 Grassland - Temperate	1	Deciduous Broadleaf Wood	1
5.1 Wetlands (inland) - Permanent Rivers/Streams/Creeks (includes waterfalls)	1	Mixed Forest Urban	1
5.2 Wetlands (inland) - Seasonal/Intermittent/Irregular Rivers/Streams/Creeks	1	Cibuli	•
5.9 Wetlands (inland) - Freshwater Springs and Oases	1		
11.2 Artificial/Terrestrial - Pastureland	1		
Major threats		Conservation Measures	
Code Description of threat Past Pres	sent Future	Code Conservation measures	In place Needed

	Description of threat	Past Pr	resentF	uture	Code	Conservation measures	In place	Needed
	Habitat Loss/Degradation (human induced)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	1	Policy-based actions	\checkmark	
	Agriculture	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	1.2	Legislation	\checkmark	
	Crops	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	1.2.1	Development	\checkmark	

1.2.1.1 International level

✓

✓

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

1.1.1.2 Small-holder farming

1

1.1

1.1.1

eam Frog

20

1.1.4	Livestock	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	1.2.1.2	2 National level		\checkmark	
1.1.4.2 Small-holder			\checkmark	\checkmark	1.2.2	Implementation		\checkmark	
1.3	Extraction	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	1.2.2.1	1 International leve	l		
1.3.3	Wood	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	1.2.2.2	1.2.2.2 National level			
1.3.3.1	Small-scale subsistence	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	3	Research actions			\checkmark
1.3.3.2	Selective logging	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	3.2	Population numbers and range			\checkmark
1.4	Infrastructure development	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	3.3	Biology and Ecolo	ogy		\checkmark
1.4.2	Human settlement	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	3.4	Habitat status			\checkmark
6	Pollution (affecting habitat and/or specie 3 Water pollution		\checkmark	✓	3.5	Threats			\checkmark
6.3			\checkmark	\checkmark	3.8	Conservation measures			\checkmark
6.3.1 Agriculture		\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	3.9	Trends/Monitoring			\checkmark
					4	Habitat and site-b	ased actions	\checkmark	\checkmark
					4.1	Maintenance/Cor	servation		\checkmark
					4.4	Protected areas		\checkmark	\checkmark
					4.4.2	Establishment		\checkmark	
					4.4.3	Management			\checkmark
Utilisat	ion of Species								
Purpos	e/Type of Use	Sub	sistence	•	Nationa	I International	Other purpose:		
Primary forms removed from the wild		100%	>75%	51	1-75%	26-50% <25%	Other forms removed from	the wil	d:
Source	of specimens in commercial trade	100%	>75%	5	1-75%	26-50% <25%	Other source of specimens:		
Trend i	n wild offtake/harvest in relation to tota	wild po	pulation	n um	bers ov	er last five years:			
		•	•			-			
Trend i	n offtake/harvest produced through dor	•	•			-			
Trend i CITES:	n offtake/harvest produced through dor Not listed	•	•			-			
Trend i CITES: <u>Red L</u>	n offtake/harvest produced through dor Not listed .isting	•	•		n over la	ast five years:			
Trend i CITES: <u>Red L</u> Red Lis	n offtake/harvest produced through dor Not listed .isting at Assessment: Least Concern (LC)	•	•		n over la	-			
Trend i CITES: <u>Red L</u> Red Lis Red Lis	n offtake/harvest produced through dor Not listed .isting st Assessment: Least Concern (LC) st Criteria:	nesticati	ion/culti	vatio	n over la	ast five years:			
Trend i CITES: <u>Red L</u> Red Lis Red Lis	n offtake/harvest produced through dor Not listed isting st Assessment: Least Concern (LC) st Criteria: ale for the Red List Assessment: Liste pres	d as Lea	ion/culti	vation	n over la	ast five years: Possibly Extinct its wide distributior	ι, tolerance of a degree of habita to be declining fast enough to q		
Trend i CITES: Red Lis Red Lis Red Lis	n offtake/harvest produced through dor Not listed isting st Assessment: Least Concern (LC) st Criteria: ale for the Red List Assessment: Liste pres	d as Lea	ion/culti	vation	view of i , and becory.	ast five years: Possibly Extinct its wide distributior	, tolerance of a degree of habita		
Trend i CITES: Red Lis Red Lis Red Lis	n offtake/harvest produced through dor Not listed isting at Assessment: Least Concern (LC) at Criteria: ale for the Red List Assessment: Liste pres in a t Population Trend: Stable	d as Lea umed lar more thre	ast Conce	ern in lation categ	n over la	ast five years: Possibly Extinct its wide distributior cause it is unlikely of Assessment:	n, tolerance of a degree of habita to be declining fast enough to q 12/17/2004		
Trend i CITES: Red Lis Red Lis Rations Curren Assess	n offtake/harvest produced through dor Not listed isting at Assessment: Least Concern (LC) at Criteria: ale for the Red List Assessment: Liste pres in a t Population Trend: Stable	d as Lea umed lar more thre	ast Conce	ern in lation categ	n over la	ast five years: Possibly Extinct its wide distributior cause it is unlikely of Assessment:	n, tolerance of a degree of habita to be declining fast enough to q 12/17/2004		

Arnold, E.N., 2003, , , Reptiles and amphibians of Europe, , , 288, Princeton University Press,

Kalezic, M. and Dzukic, G., 2001, Amphibian status in Serbia and Montenegro (FR Yugoslavia), FrogLog, , , 45, , ,

, 1997, , , Atlas of Amphibians and Reptiles in Europe, Gasc, J.-P., , 494, Societas Europea Herpetologica & Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris

Dubois, A., 1985, Notes sur les grenouilles brunes (Groupe de Rana temproaria Linné, 1758). IV. Note préliminaire sur Rana graeca Boulenger, 1891, Alytes, , , 4(4), 135-138, ,

Picariello, O., Feliciello, I., Bellinello, R. and Chinali, G., 2002, S1 satellite DNA as a taxonomic marker in brown frogs: molecular evidence that Rana graeca and Rana graeca italica are different species, Genome, , , 45(1), 63-70, ,

Grillitsch, B., Grillitsch, H., Dubois A. and Splechtna, H., 1993, The tadpoles of the brown frogs Rana [graeca] graeca and Rana [graeca] italica (Amphibia, Anura), Alytes, , , 11(4), 117-139, ,